



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/783,767

02/20/2004

Mark Alcazar

MS304706.01/40062.0222US0

6663

7590 06/17/2008
Homer L. Kncarl
Merchant & Gould P.C.
P.O. Box 2903
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, VAN H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2194

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/783,767	Applicant(s) ALCAZAR ET AL.	
	Examiner VAN H. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2194	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Van H. Nguyen. (3)_____.

(2) Stanley J. Gardisar (Reg. No. 42,598). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims 1, 8, and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gensel (US 20030200532 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Gensel reference and Applicant's proposed amendment to independent claims 1, 8, and 15 were discussed. The proposed amendments appear to overcome the 35 USC § 102 rejection. The Examiner will fully consider Applicant's arguments/amendments when a formal response is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/VAN H NGUYEN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required